

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,713	12/05/2003	Juan F. Valdez	1-23716	1743
2.2.0	7590 03/14/2007 , SOBANSKI & TODD, L	EXAMINER		
ONE MARITIME PLAZA - FIFTH FLOOR			HONG, JOHN C	
720 WATER STREET TOLEDO, OH 43604  ART UN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
.02250, 011	3726			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MOI	NTHS	03/14/2007	PAF	PER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/728,713	VALDEZ, JUAN F.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		JOHN C. HONG	3726		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
Status			<b>\</b>		
_	Perparative to communication(a) filed on 28 Co				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 September 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.				
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
<b>▽</b> /∟	closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Nienoeiti	on of Claims	x parto quayro, 1000 o.c	7. 11, 400 O.O. 210.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	<ul><li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ul>	vn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-4,8,12,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) <u>5-7,9-11,13-15</u> is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement			
	· ·	olosion roquilomoni.			
	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	- ' '	• •		
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the cart or declaration is objected to by the Extended to but the Extended to be the Extende				
	•	arrimer. Note the attached	d Office Action of form PTO-152.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	• .			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>		received in this National Stage		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of		received		
	TO THE STANDING GOLDING GOLDIN TOF A 115t (	or the certified copies flot	·		
Attachment	• •	_			
) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•		

Application/Control Number: 10/728,713

Art Unit: 3726

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4,8,12,16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of La Rocca (U.S. Patent 5618453).

Regarding Claim(s) 1, AAPA as found on page 2, lines 4-18 of the specification, discloses a method for securing a body mount support bracket to a portion of a vehicle body and frame assembly comprising the steps of: providing a body mount support bracket; securing the body mount support bracket to a portion of a vehicle body and frame assembly.

AAPA fails to teach the steps of creating internal stresses within the body mount support bracket and securing the body mount support bracket to a portion of a vehicle body and frame assembly so as to maintain the internal stresses therein.

La Rocca teaches the step of creating internal stresses within the body mount support bracket; securing the body mount support bracket to a portion of a vehicle body and frame assembly so as to maintain the internal stresses therein (col.1, lines 38-49).

La Rocca teaches that it is well known in the art of vehicle assembly that parts that do not fit together perfectly are often forced into alignment in order to complete assembly, and this process creates internal stresses within the parts and maintained **even though these stresses are not desirable**.

Art Unit: 3726

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in case where the body mount support bracket does not fit perfectly with the vehicle body, would find it obvious to employ the step of forcing the parts into alignment so as to assemble together even it **creates internal stresses within** the body mount support bracket and **maintains the internal stresses therein**, as taught by La Rocca on the method of AAPA so as to secure a body mount support bracket to a portion of vehicle body.

Regarding Claim(s) 2, AAPA teaches the step of providing a body mount support bracket including a central body portion having a pair of leg portions depending therefrom (page 2, lines 4-18).

**Regarding Claim(s) 3**, AAPA teaches the step of providing the central body portion with an aperture therethrough(page 2, lines 4-18).

**Regarding Claim(s) 4**, AAPA teaches the step of providing leg portions that extend from the central body portion and diverge apart from one another (page 2, lines 4-18)..

**Regarding Claim(s) 8**, AAPA teaches the step of providing leg portions that extend from the central body portion generally parallel to one another (page 2, lines 4-18).

Regarding Claim(s) 12, AAPA teaches the step of providing leg portions that extend from the central body portion and converge toward one another (page 2, lines 4-18).

Regarding Claim(s) 16, AAPA teaches the step of securing the body mount support bracket to a portion of a vehicle body and frame assembly by one of welding and adhesives (page 2, lines 4-18).

Application/Control Number: 10/728,713

Art Unit: 3726

Regarding Claim(s) 17, AAPA as found on page 2, lines 4-18 of the specification, discloses: a method of manufacturing a vehicle body and frame assembly comprising the steps of: providing a frame portion; securing a body mount support bracket to a portion of the frame portion by providing a body mount support bracket; and securing the body mount support bracket to a portion of a vehicle body and frame assembly; providing a body portion having a body mount; and supporting the body mount of the body portion on the body mount support bracket of the frame portion to provide a vehicle body and frame assembly.

AAPA fails to teach the steps of creating internal stresses within the body mount support bracket and securing the body mount support bracket to a portion of a vehicle body and frame assembly so as to maintain the internal stresses therein.

La Rocca teaches the step of creating internal stresses within the body mount support bracket; securing the body mount support bracket to a portion of a vehicle body and frame assembly so as to maintain the internal stresses therein (col.1, lines 38-49).

La Rocca teaches that it is well known in the art of vehicle assembly that parts that do not fit together perfectly are often forced into alignment in order to complete assembly, and this process creates internal stresses within the parts and maintained **even though these stresses are not desirable**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in case where the body mount support bracket does not fit perfectly with the vehicle body, would find it obvious to employ the step of forcing the parts into alignment so as to assemble together even it **creates internal stresses within** the body mount support bracket and

maintains the internal stresses therein, as taught by La Rocca on the method of AAPA so as to secure a body mount support bracket to a portion of vehicle body.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5-7,9-11,13-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/28/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See the new Office action.

According to the claim language of the Application, it just mentions the steps of creating internal stresses within the body mount support bracket (sheet metal parts) and maintain the internal stresses therein.

La Rocca teaches that it is well known in the art of vehicle assembly that parts that do not fit together perfectly are often forced into alignment in order to complete assembly, and this process creates internal stresses within the parts and maintained even though these stresses are not desirable.

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN C. HONG whose telephone number is 571-272-4529. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID BRYANT can be reached on 571-272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Art Unit: 3726

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JOHN C HONG Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

jh March 3, 2007